arXiv:math/0207193v3 [math.AP] 3 Sep 2002

AN INTERIOR ESTIMATE FOR A NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

Giuseppe Maria Coclite
S.I.S.S.A., via Beirut 2-4, Trieste 34014, Italy.
e-mail: coclite@sissa.it.

Ref. S.I.S.S.A. 52/2002/M (July 2002)

Abstract. In this paper there are estimated the derivatives of the solution of an initial boundary value problem for a nonlinear uniformly parabolic equation in the interior with the total variation of the boundary data and the $L^{\infty}-$norm of the initial condition.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested to estimate the L^1-norms of the derivatives of the solution of a nonlinear parabolic equation. More precisely, we estimate the derivatives of the solution of an initial boundary value problem in the interior with respect to the total variation of the boundary data and the $L^\infty-$norm of the initial datum. We split the solution in three parts, one depending only on the initial datum and the other two depending only on the boundary data. Moreover these maps are solutions of a linear parabolic equation. The main tools of the proofs are the Maximum Principle and Energy estimates.

Let $W = W(t,x)$ the solution of the quasilinear initial boundary value problem (see [3, Theorem VI 5.2])

$$\begin{cases} W_t = a(x,W)W_{xx}, & \text{for } 0 \le x \le 1,\ t \ge 0, \\ W(0,x) = \varphi(x), & \text{for } 0 \le x \le 1, \\ W(t,0) = g_0(t), & \text{for } t \ge 0, \\ W(t,1) = g_1(t), & \text{for } t \ge 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where

$$a \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad 0 < a_* \le a(\cdot,\cdot) \le a^* < +\infty, \quad \|a\|_{C^3} \le k \tag{2}$$

and

$$\varphi \in C^2([0,1]), \quad g_0, g_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap BV(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad g_0(0) = \varphi(0),\ g_1(0) = \varphi(1). \tag{3}$$

The main results of this paper are the following ones.

THEOREM 1. *Let $W = W(t,x)$ be the classical solution of (1), with $a = a(x,y)$ satisfying (2), $\varphi = \varphi(x)$, $g_0 = g_0(t)$, $g_1 = g_1(t)$ satisfying (3) and $c_1 > 0$. There exists $C = C(c_1, k, a_*, a^*, \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}, \|g_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|g_1\|_{L^\infty}) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{c_1}^{T} |W_t(t,x)|dt \le C\, \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^T \Big(|g_0'(t)| + |g_1'(t)|\Big)dt \tag{4}$$

for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$ *and* $T \geq c_1$.

THEOREM 2. *Let* $W = W(x,t)$ *be the classical solution of (1), with* $a = a(x,y)$ *satisfying (2),* $\varphi = \varphi(x)$, $g_0 = g_0(t)$, $g_1 = g_1(t)$ *satisfying (3),* $c_1 > 0$ *and* $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. *There exists* $C = C(\varepsilon, c_1, k, a_*, a^*, \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}, \|g_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|g_1\|_{L^\infty}) > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} |W_{tx}(t,x)| dx \leq C\left(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^T \Big(|g_0'(t)| + |g_1'(t)|\Big) dt \right) \tag{5}$$

for all $T \geq c_1$.

In the literature there are well-known interior estimates on the $L^\infty-$norm of the derivatives of the solution of (1), called Schauder estimates (e.g. see [2]) and the ones on the L^2-norm (e.g. see [4]).

As a motivation and application of this results we can see [1]. There the authors prove the convergence of the vanishing viscosity solutions for a particular 2×2 system of conservation laws. They show the compactness of that family of solutions via uniform estimates on the total variation and Helly's Theorem. A basic ingredient of these estimates (see [1, Lemma 3]) is proved here as Theorem 2.

Denote

$$\bar{a}(t,x) \doteq a\big(x, W(t,x)\big), \qquad 0 \leq x \leq 1,\ t \geq 0,$$

and consider the solution $u = u(t,x)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \bar{a}(t,x) u_{xx}, & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1,\ \ t \geq 0, \\ u(0,x) = \varphi(x), & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(t,0) = g_0(t), & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ u(t,1) = g_1(t), & \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

By uniqueness, $W \equiv u$ (see [3, Theorem VI 5.2]). Now fix $c_1 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, by Schauder estimates (see [2, Chapter 3, Section8]), there exists $K_0 = K_0(c_1,\ \varepsilon,\ k,\ a_*,\ a^*) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{(t,\,x) \in S} \big\{|W_x|,\ |W_t|,\ |W_{tx}|\big\} \leq K_0\big(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \|g_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|g_1\|_{L^\infty}\big),$$

and so, by the definition of $\bar{a}$ and (2),

$$\sup_{(t,\,x)\in S}\big\{|\bar{a}_t|,\ |\bar{a}_{tx}|\big\}\le K_1\big(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}+\|g_0\|_{L^\infty}+\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}\big),$$

for some constant $K_1=K_1(c_1,\ \varepsilon,\ k,\ a_*,\ a^*)>0$, where

$$S\doteq\big\{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^2;c_1\le t,\ \ \varepsilon\le x\le 1-\varepsilon\big\}.$$

To simplify some technical aspects of the proofs, we shall assume also that (see(3))

$$\varphi(0)=\varphi(1)=g_0(0)=g_1(0)=0.$$

Let $u_1=u_1(t,x), u_2=u_2(t,x), u_3=u_3(t,x)$ be the solutions of the linear equation

$$u_t=\bar{a}(t,x)u_{xx},\qquad t>0,\ \ 0<x<1, \tag{7}$$

satisfying the initial and boundary conditions

$$u_1(0,\cdot)\equiv\varphi,\ \ u_1(\cdot,0)\equiv 0,\ \ u_1(\cdot,1)\equiv 0,$$

$$u_2(0,\cdot)\equiv 0,\ \ u_2(\cdot,0)\equiv g_0,\ \ u_2(\cdot,1)\equiv 0,$$

$$u_3(0,\cdot)\equiv 0,\ \ u_3(\cdot,0)\equiv 0,\ \ u_3(\cdot,1)\equiv g_1,$$

respectively. By (6) and the linearity of (7),

$$W(t,x)=u(t,x)=u_1(t,x)+u_2(t,x)+u_3(t,x) \tag{8}$$

is the solution of (1).

In Section 2 we prove the estimates (4) and (5) for u_2 and u_3, namely we consider the case of (1) with the null initial condition. On the other side, in Section 3 we prove the same ones for u_1, namely we consider (1) with the null boundary data. In Section 4 we give the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. Finally in the last Section (the Appendix) we prove two lemmas, the first one is a simply measure theory result, the second one consists of two Poincare type inequalities. The proofs of these two lemmas are needed for the sake of the best-constants.

2. The Case with Null Initial Condition and General Boundary Data

In this section we want to prove some estimates on the derivatives of the maps u_2 and u_3, defined in the previous section.

LEMMA 3. *There results*

$$\int_0^T |u_{2,t}(t,x)|dt \leq \int_0^T |g_0'(t)|dt,$$

for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

PROOF. Define

$$\left.\begin{aligned} h_0(t) &\doteq \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_0^t |g_0'(\tau)|d\tau + g_0(t)\Big),\\ k_0(t) &\doteq \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_0^t |g_0'(\tau)|d\tau - g_0(t)\Big),\end{aligned}\right. \qquad t \geq 0.$$

Clearly h_0, $k_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap BV(\mathbb{R}_+)$, increasing in $\mathbb{R}_+$, positive and

$$\left.\begin{aligned} g_0(t) &= h_0(t) - k_0(t),\\ \int_0^t |g_0'(\tau)|d\tau &= \int_0^t h_0'(\tau)d\tau + \int_0^t k_0'(\tau)d\tau,\end{aligned}\right. \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{9}$$

Let v_2 and ω_2 be the solutions of (7) such that

$$v_2(0,\cdot) \equiv 0,\ \ v_2(\cdot,0) \equiv h_0,\ \ v_2(\cdot,1) \equiv 0,$$

$$\omega_2(0,\cdot) \equiv 0,\ \ \omega_2(\cdot,0) \equiv k_0,\ \ \omega_2(\cdot,1) \equiv 0.$$

Since (7) is linear, by (9),

$$u_2 \equiv v_2 - \omega_2. \tag{10}$$

Moreover, $v_{2,xx}$ and $\omega_{2,xx}$ are solutions of the equation

$$U_t = \bar{a}(t,x)U_{xx} + 2\bar{a}_x(t,x)U_x + \bar{a}_{xx}(t,x)U \tag{11}$$

and, by the definition of h_0 and k_0,

$$v_{2,xx}(0,\cdot) \equiv v_{2,xx}(\cdot,1) \equiv 0,\ \ v_{2,xx}(\cdot,0) = \frac{h_0'}{\bar{a}(\cdot,0)} \geq 0,$$

$$\omega_{2,xx}(0,\cdot) \equiv \omega_{2,xx}(\cdot,1) \equiv 0, \;\; \omega_{2,xx}(\cdot,0) = \frac{k_0'}{\bar{a}(\cdot,0)} \geq 0,$$

by the Maximum Principle (see [3, Theorem I 2.1]), $v_{2,xx}$ and $\omega_{2,xx}$ are positive. So $v_2(t,\cdot)$ and $\omega_2(t,\cdot)$ are convex in $[0,1]$, for each $t \geq 0$. By (2) and (7), we have

$$v_{2,t}(t,x) \geq 0, \quad \omega_{2,t}(t,x) \geq 0, \qquad t > 0, \;\; 0 \leq x \leq 1. \tag{12}$$

Fix $T \geq 0$ and $0 \leq x \leq 1$, by the Maximum Principle and the monotonicity of h_0, there results

$$v_2(T,x) \leq \max_{[0,T]} v_2(\cdot,0) = h_0(T).$$

So, by (12) and since $h_0(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |v_{2,t}(t,x)|dt = \int_0^T v_{2,t}(t,x)dt = \\ = v_2(T,x) \leq h_0(T) = \int_0^T h_0'(t)dt \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and analogously

$$\int_0^T |\omega_{2,t}(t,x)|dt \leq \int_0^T k_0'(t)dt, \tag{14}$$

then, by (9), (10), (13) and (14),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |u_{2,t}(t,x)|dt \leq \int_0^T \big(|v_{2,t}(t,x)| + |\omega_{2,t}(t,x)|\big)dt \leq \\ \leq \int_0^T \big(h_0'(t) + k_0'(t)\big)dt = \int_0^T |g_0'(t)|dt. \end{aligned}$$

So the proof is concluded.■

In the same way we can prove the following.

Lemma 4. *There results*

$$\int_0^T |u_{3,t}(t,x)|dt \leq \int_0^T |g_1'(t)|dt,$$

for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

LEMMA 5. *There exist two constants C_1, $\delta_1 > 0$ depending only on a_*, a^*, k, ε, c_1, $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_0\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}$ such that, if $\varepsilon \le x_1 < x_2 \le 1-\varepsilon$ and $x_2 - x_1 < \delta_1$, there results*

$$\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |u_{i,xt}(t,x)| dx \le C_1 \int_0^T \Big(|u_{i,t}(t,x_1)| + |u_{i,t}(t,x_2)| \Big) dt,$$

for $i = 2,3$ and all $T \ge c_1$.

PROOF. Fix $i \in \{2,\ 3\}$ and $0 \le t \le T$, by (7), there results

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)}{2} dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}(t,x) u_{i,ttx}(t,x) dx = \\
&= u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) - \\
&- \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}(t,x) u_{i,tt}(t,x) dx = \\
&= u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) - \\
&- \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}(t,x) \Big(\bar{a}_t(t,x) u_{i,xx}(t,x) + \bar{a}(t,x) u_{i,txx}(t,x) \Big) dx = \\
&= u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) - \\
&- \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}_t(t,x) u_{i,txx}(t,x) u_{i,xx}(t,x) dx - \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}(t,x) u_{i,txx}^2(t,x) dx \le \\
&\le u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) - \\
&- \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}_t(t,x) u_{i,txx}(t,x) u_{i,xx}(t,x) dx - a_* \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}^2(t,x) dx \le \\
&\le u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2a_*} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}_t^2(t,x) u_{i,xx}^2(t,x) dx - \frac{a_*}{2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}^2(t,x) dx \le \\
&\le u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2a_*} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\bar{a}_t^2(t,x)}{\bar{a}^2(t,x)} u_{i,t}^2(t,x) dx - \frac{a_*}{2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}^2(t,x) dx \le \\
&\le u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) + \\
&+ \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{2a_*^3} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,t}^2(t,x) dx - \frac{a_*}{2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}^2(t,x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By formulas (A.2) and (A.3) of the Appendix, we have

$$-\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,txx}^2(t,x) dx \le -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)}{2(x_2-x_1)^2} dx + \frac{|u_{i,t}(t,x_2) - u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2}{|x_2-x_1|^3},$$

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,t}^2(t,x)dx \leq 2(x_2-x_1)^2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)dx + 2(x_2-x_1)|u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2,$$

respectively and then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)}{2}dx \leq \\ &\leq u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) + \\ &+ \left[-\frac{a_*}{4(x_2-x_1)^2} + \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{a_*^3}(x_2-x_1)^2\right]\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)dx + \\ &+ \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{a_*^3}(x_2-x_1)|u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2 + \frac{a_*}{2}\frac{|u_{i,t}(t,x_2)-u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2}{|x_2-x_1|^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Moreover, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that, if $x_2 - x_1 < \delta_1$, there results

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{i,tx}(t,x_2)u_{i,tt}(t,x_2) - u_{i,tx}(t,x_1)u_{i,tt}(t,x_1) + \\ &+ \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{a_*^3}(x_2-x_1)^2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)dx + \\ &+ \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{a_*^3}(x_2-x_1)|u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \frac{a_*}{8(x_2-x_1)^2}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)dx + \frac{a_*}{2}\frac{|u_{i,t}(t,x_2)-u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2}{|x_2-x_1|^3}, \end{aligned}$$

and then, by (15),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)}{2}dx \leq \\ &\leq -\frac{a_*}{8}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)}{(x_2-x_1)^2}dx + a_*\frac{|u_{i,t}(t,x_2)-u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|^2}{|x_2-x_1|^3}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)dx \leq \\ &\leq 2a_*\frac{e^{-\frac{a_* t}{4(x_2-x_1)^2}}}{|x_2-x_1|^3}\int_0^t e^{\frac{a_*\tau}{4(x_2-x_1)^2}}|u_{i,t}(\tau,x_2)-u_{i,t}(\tau,x_1)|^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since

$$\left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} |u_{i,tx}(t,x)|dx\right)^2 \leq (x_2-x_1)\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u_{i,tx}^2(t,x)dx, \tag{17}$$

and

$$|u_{i,t}(\tau,x_2)-u_{i,t}(\tau,x_1)| \leq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |u_{i,tx}(\tau,x)|dx, \tag{18}$$

we have, by (16), (17) and (18),

$$f^2(t) \le e^{-\lambda t}\int_0^t e^{\lambda\tau}h(\tau)f(\tau)d\tau,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &\doteq \int_{x_1}^{x_2}|u_{i,tx}(t,x)|dx,\\ h(t) &\doteq 2a_*\frac{|u_{i,t}(t,x_2)-u_{i,t}(t,x_1)|}{|x_2-x_1|^2},\\ \lambda &\doteq \frac{a_*}{4(x_2-x_1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma A-1 of the Appendix, there results

$$\int_0^T dt\int_{x_1}^{x_2}|u_{i,tx}(t,x)|dx \le C_1\int_0^T|u_{i,t}(\tau,x_2)-u_{i,t}(\tau,x_1)|dt.$$

So the proof is concluded.■

3. The Case with Homogeneous Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

In this section we want to prove some estimates on the derivatives of u_1, defined in Section 1.

LEMMA 6. *There exists a constants* $C_2 > 0$ *depending only on* a_*, a^*, k, c_1, $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_0\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}$ *such that, there results*

$$\int_{c_1}^T|u_{1,t}(t,x)|dt \le C_2\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty},$$

for all $0 < c_1 \le T$ *and* $0 \le x \le 1$.

PROOF. Let $v_1 = v_1(t,x)$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_1(t,x)$ be the solutions of (7) satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(c_1,x) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_0^x\int_0^y|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\xi)|d\xi dy + u_1(c_1,x)\Big),\\ \omega_1(c_1,x) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_0^x\int_0^y|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\xi)|d\xi dy - u_1(c_1,x)\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for $0 \le x \le 1$ and

$$v_1(t,0) = v_1(t,1) = \omega_1(t,0) = \omega_1(t,1) = 0, \tag{20}$$

for $t \ge c_1$. By the linearity of (7), (19) and (20) there results

$$u_1 = v_1 - \omega_1, \qquad 0 \le x \le 1,\ \ t \ge c_1. \tag{21}$$

Moreover $v_1(c_1,\cdot)$ and $\omega_1(c_1,\cdot)$ are convex. Since $v_{1,xx}$ and $\omega_{1,xx}$ are solutions of (11) and

$$v_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot) \ge 0, \quad v_{1,xx}(\cdot,0) = \frac{v_{1,t}(\cdot,0)}{\bar{a}(\cdot,0)} = 0, \quad v_{1,xx}(\cdot,1) = \frac{v_{1,t}(\cdot,1)}{\bar{a}(\cdot,1)} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot) \ge 0, \quad \omega_{1,xx}(\cdot,0) = \frac{\omega_{1,t}(\cdot,0)}{\bar{a}(\cdot,0)} = 0, \quad \omega_{1,xx}(\cdot,1) = \frac{\omega_{1,t}(\cdot,1)}{\bar{a}(\cdot,1)} = 0,$$

by the Maximum Principle, $v_{1,xx}$ and $\omega_{1,xx}$ are positive. So $v_1(t,\cdot)$ and $\omega_1(t,\cdot)$ are convex in $[0,1]$, for each $t \ge c_1$. By (2), (7), (19) and (20), we have

$$v_{1,t}(t,x) \ge 0, \qquad \omega_{1,t}(t,x) \ge 0, \qquad 0 \le x \le 1,\ \ t \ge c_1.$$

Fix $T \ge c_1$ and $0 \le x \le 1$, there results

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{c_1}^{T} |v_{1,t}(t,x)| dt = \int_{c_1}^{T} v_{1,t}(t,x) dt = \\ = v_1(T,x) - v_1(c_1,x) \le 2\|v_1\|_{L^\infty(S)}\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and analogously

$$\int_{c_1}^{T} |\omega_{1,t}(t,x)| dt \le 2\|\omega_1\|_{L^\infty(S)}. \tag{23}$$

By the Maximum Principle and the definition of u_1, v_1, ω_1 there results

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v_1\|_{L^\infty(S)} + \|\omega_1\|_{L^\infty(S)} \le \\ &\qquad \le \|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} + \|u_1(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Maximum Principle and the definition of u_1, there results

$$\|u_1(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} \le \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Since

$$u_{1,xx}(c_1,0)=\frac{u_{1,t}(c_1,0)}{\bar{a}(c_1,0)}=0,\quad u_{1,xx}(c_1,1)=\frac{u_{1,t}(c_1,1)}{\bar{a}(c_1,1)}=0,$$

there exists $0<\bar{x}<1$, depending on c_1, such that

$$\|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}=|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\bar{x})|.$$

Let be $0<\bar{\varepsilon}<\dfrac{1}{2}$ such that $\bar{\varepsilon}\le\bar{x}\le 1-\bar{\varepsilon}$, there results

$$\|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}=|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\bar{x})|=\|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([\bar{\varepsilon},1-\bar{\varepsilon})])}.$$

Moreover, by the Schauder Estimates, there exists a constant $K_2>0$ such that

$$\|u_{1,xx}(c_1,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([\bar{\varepsilon},1-\bar{\varepsilon})])}\le K_2\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{24}$$

Finally, by (21), (22), (23) and (24) we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{c_1}^{T}|u_{1,t}(t,x)|dt\le\int_{c_1}^{T}|v_{1,t}(t,x)|dt+\int_{c_1}^{T}|\omega_{1,t}(t,x)|dt\le\\ \le 2\big(\|v_1\|_{L^\infty(S)}+\|\omega_1\|_{L^\infty(S)}\big)\le 2(K_2+1)\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Since K_2 depends on $\bar{\varepsilon}$ that depends on c_1, the proof is done.■

LEMMA 7. *There exist two constants $C_3,\delta_2>0$ depending only on a_*, a^*, k, ε, c_1, $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_0\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}$ such that, if $\varepsilon\le x_1<x_2\le 1-\varepsilon$ and $x_2-x_1<\delta_2$, there results*

$$\int_{c_1}^{T}dt\int_{x_1}^{x_2}|u_{1,tx}(x,t)|dx\le C_3\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty},$$

for all $T\ge c_1$.

PROOF. Call

$$\delta_2\doteq\frac{a_*}{8^{1/4}\|\bar{a}_t\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty(S)}}, \tag{25}$$

fix $\varepsilon\le x_1<x_2\le 1-\varepsilon$ and consider the restriction of u_1 to the the strip

$$\widetilde{S}\doteq\{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^2;\ t\ge 0,\ \ x_1\le x\le x_2\}.$$

There results

$$u_1\equiv\bar{u}+\widetilde{u},\qquad \text{in } \widetilde{S}, \tag{26}$$

where $\bar{u}$, $\tilde{u}$ are the solutions of (7) such that

$$\bar{u}(0,\cdot) \equiv \varphi\big|_{[x_1,x_2]}, \;\; \bar{u}(\cdot,x_1) \equiv \varphi(x_1), \;\; \bar{u}(\cdot,x_2) \equiv \varphi(x_2), \tag{27}$$

$$\tilde{u}(0,\cdot) \equiv 0, \;\; \tilde{u}(\cdot,x_1) \equiv u_1(\cdot,x_1) - \varphi(x_1), \;\; \tilde{u}(\cdot,x_2) \equiv u_1(\cdot,x_2) - \varphi(x_2). \tag{28}$$

By Lemmas 5 and 6, there results

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |\widetilde{u}_{tx}(t,x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq C_1 \int_0^T \big(|\widetilde{u}_t(t,x_1)| + |\widetilde{u}_t(t,x_2)| \big) dt = \\ &= 2C_1 \int_0^T \big(|u_{1,t}(t,x_1)| + |u_{1,t}(t,x_2)| \big) \leq 4C_1C_2\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Finally, denote

$$\hat{u}(t,x) \doteq \bar{u}(t,x) - \frac{x-x_1}{x_2-x_1}\varphi(x_2) - \frac{x_2-x}{x_2-x_1}\varphi(x_1).$$

Clearly $\hat{u}$ is solution of (7) and there results

$$\hat{u}(0,x) = \varphi(x) - \frac{x-x_1}{x_2-x_1}\varphi(x_2) - \frac{x_2-x}{x_2-x_1}\varphi(x_1), \tag{30}$$

$$\hat{u}(t,x_1) = \hat{u}(t,x_2) = 0, \tag{31}$$

$$\hat{u}_{tx}(t,x) = \bar{u}_{tx}(t,x). \tag{32}$$

Moreover, by the definition of $\hat{u}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)}{2} dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}(t,x)\hat{u}_{ttx}(t,x) dx = \\ &= -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}(t,x)\hat{u}_{tt}(t,x) dx = \\ &= -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}(t,x)\Big(\bar{a}_t(t,x)\hat{u}_{xx}(t,x) + \bar{a}(t,x)\hat{u}_{txx}(t,x)\Big) dx = \\ &= -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}_t(t,x)\hat{u}_{txx}(t,x)\hat{u}_{xx}(t,x) dx - \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}(t,x)\hat{u}_{txx}^2(t,x) dx \leq \\ &\leq -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}_t(t,x)\hat{u}_{txx}(t,x)\hat{u}_{xx}(t,x) dx - a_* \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}^2(t,x) dx \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2a_*}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{a}_t^2(t,x)\hat{u}_{xx}^2(t,x) dx - \frac{a_*}{2}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}^2(t,x) dx \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2a_*}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\bar{a}_t^2(t,x)}{\bar{a}^2(t,x)}\hat{u}_t^2(t,x) dx - \frac{a_*}{2}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}^2(t,x) dx \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{2a_*^3}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_t^2(t,x) dx - \frac{a_*}{2}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}^2(t,x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (A.3) and (30), we have

$$-\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{txx}^2(t,x)dx \le -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)}{2(x_2-x_1)^2}dx,$$

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_t^2(t,x)dx \le 2(x_2-x_1)^2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x),$$

and then, by (25), if $x_2 - x_1 \le \delta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)}{2}dx \le \\
&\le \left[-\frac{a_*}{4(x_2-x_1)^2} + \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{a_*^3}(x_2-x_1)^2\right]\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)dx \le \\
&\le \left[-\frac{a_*}{4\delta_2^2} + \frac{\|\bar{a}_t\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2}{a_*^3}\delta_2^2\right]\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)dx \le \\
&\le -\frac{a_*}{8\delta_2^2}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Schauder estimates and (30), there exists a constant $K_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)dx \le \\
&\le e^{-\frac{a_*(t-c_1)}{4\delta_2^2}}\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(c_1,x)dx \le \\
&\le K_3 e^{-\frac{a_* t}{4\delta_2^2}}\|\hat{u}(0,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \le 9K_3 e^{-\frac{a_* t}{4\delta_2^2}}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{x_1}^{x_2} |\hat{u}_{tx}(t,x)|dx \le (x_2-x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{u}_{tx}^2(t,x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \\
&\le 3K_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}(x_2-x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{a_* t}{8\delta_2^2}} \le 3K_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\delta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{a_* t}{8\delta_2^2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and integrating on $[c_1,\, T]$

$$\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |\hat{u}_{tx}(t,x)|dx \le \frac{24K_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\delta_2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{a_*}. \tag{33}$$

By (26), we have

$$\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |u_{1,tx}(t,x)|dx \le \int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \big(|\bar{u}_{tx}(t,x)| + |\tilde{u}_{tx}(t,x)|\big)dx,$$

then, by (29), (32) and (33), the thesis is done.■

4. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

In this section we give the proofs of the main results of the paper.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. The thesis is direct consequence of (8) and Lemmas 3, 4 and 6.■

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Fix $0 < c_1 \leq T$, $0 < \varepsilon < \dfrac{1}{2}$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} |W_{tx}(t,x)|dx = \int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} |u_{tx}(t,x)|dx \leq \\ \leq \sum_{i=1,2,3} \int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} |u_{i,tx}(t,x)|dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $W = W(t,x)$ and $u = u(t,x)$ are the solutions of (1) and (6), respectively.

Let $x_0, ..., x_h$ such that

$$\varepsilon = x_0 < x_1 < .... < x_{h-1} < x_h = 1 - \varepsilon$$

and

$$x_j - x_{j-1} < \delta, \qquad j = 1, ..., h,$$

where $\delta \leq \min\{\delta_1,\ \delta_2\}$ and δ_1, δ_2 are the ones of Lemmas 5 and 7, respectively.

By Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} |u_{1,tx}(t,x)|dx \leq \sum_{j=1}^{h} \int_{c_1}^{T} dt \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |u_{1,tx}(t,x)|dx \leq \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{h} C_3 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} = hC_3\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By Lemmas 3, 4 and 5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=2,3}\int_0^T dt\int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon}|u_{i,tx}(t,x)|dx = \\
&=\sum_{i=2,3}\sum_{j=1}^{h}\int_0^T dt\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}|u_{i,tx}(t,x)|dx \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{i=2,3}\sum_{j=1}^{h}C_1\int_0^T\Big(|u_{i,t}(t,x_{j-1})|+|u_{i,t}(t,x_j)|\Big)dt \leq \\
&\leq 2\,h\cdot C_1\int_0^T\Big(|u_t(t,0)|+|u_t(t,1)|\Big)dt = \\
&= 2\,h\cdot C_1\int_0^T\Big(|g_0'(t)|+|g_1'(t)|\Big)dt.
\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

Since h, C_1 and C_3 depend only on a_*, a^*, k, ε, c_1, $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_0\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}$ the thesis is direct consequence of (34) and (35).■

Appendix: Two Technical Lemmas

In these section we prove two lemmas. The proofs of these two lemmas are more or less well-known. We insert here one of these ones for the sake of completeness and the best-constants.

LEMMA A-1. *Let $f,\, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be positive functions and fix a constant $\lambda > 0$. If*

$$f^2(t) \leq e^{-\lambda t}\int_0^t e^{\lambda\tau}h(\tau)f(\tau)d\tau, \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{A.1}$$

then

$$\int_0^T f(t)dt \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}\int_0^T h(t)dt,$$

for each $T \geq 0$.

LEMMA A-2. (POINCARE TYPE INEQUALITIES) *For any $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ one has*

$$\int_a^b f'^2(x)\,dx \le 2\,(b-a)^2 \int_a^b f''^2(x)\,dx + 2\,\frac{\big(f(b)-f(a)\big)^2}{b-a}, \qquad (A.2)$$

$$\int_a^b f^2(x)\,dx \le 2\,(b-a)^2 \int_a^b f'^2(x)\,dx + 2\,(b-a)|f(a)|^2, \qquad (A.3)$$

for each $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$.

PROOF OF LEMMA A-1. Fix $T \ge 0$. Define

$$g(t) \doteq e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}t} f(t), \qquad t \ge 0,$$

by (A.1), we have

$$g^2(t) \le \int_0^t e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) g(\tau) d\tau, \qquad t \ge 0. \qquad (A.4)$$

Denote

$$M_t \doteq \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} g(\tau), \qquad t \ge 0,$$

we claim that

$$M_t \le \int_0^t e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) d\tau, \qquad t \ge 0. \qquad (A.5)$$

Fix $t \ge 0$. If $M_t = 0$ we are done, assume that $M_t > 0$. Since g is continuous there exists $0 \le t_0 \le t$ such that

$$M_t = g(t_0),$$

by (A.4) and the positivity of f and h, there results

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^2 = g^2(t_0) \le \int_0^{t_0} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) g(\tau) d\tau \le \\ \le \int_0^t e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) g(\tau) d\tau \le M_t \int_0^t e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

so (A.5) is proved. Since

$$e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}t} f(t) = g(t) \le M_t, \qquad t \ge 0,$$

by (A.5) and the definition of g, we have

$$f(t) \le e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}t} \int_0^t e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) d\tau, \qquad t \ge 0$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T f(t)dt &\le \int_0^T dt \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}t} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) d\tau = \\
&= \int_0^T d\tau \int_\tau^T e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}t} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) dt = \\
&= \int_0^T e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) \left(\int_\tau^T e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}t} dt \right) d\tau = \\
&= \int_0^T e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} h(\tau) \cdot \frac{2}{\lambda} \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau} - e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}T} \right) d\tau = \\
&= \int_0^T h(\tau) \cdot \frac{2}{\lambda} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(T-\tau)} \right) d\tau \le \frac{2}{\lambda} \cdot \int_0^T h(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

So the proof is concluded.■

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof. Alberto Bressan for suggesting the problem and for many useful discussions.

References

[1] S. Bianchini, A. Bressan, *A case study in vanishing viscosity,* Discr. Cont. Dyn. Sys. **7** (2001), 449-476.

[2] A. Friedman, *Partial differential equations of parabolic type ,* Prentice-Hall, Englewood (1964).

[3] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type,* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society (1968).

[4] A. Lunardi, *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems,* Birkhauser (1995).